

1. Alberts B, Cicerone RJ, Fienberg SE, et al. SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY. Self-correction in science at work. *Science* 2015;348(6242):1420-2. doi: 10.1126/science.aab3847 [published Online First: 2015/06/27]
2. Azoulay P, Graff-Zivin J, Uzzi B, et al. Toward a more scientific science. *Science* 2018;361(6408):1194. doi: 10.1126/science.aav2484
3. Baker M. IS THERE A REPRODUCIBILITY CRISIS? *Nature* 2016;533(7604):452-54. doi: 10.1038/533452a
4. Baker M. REPRODUCIBILITY Seek out stronger science. *Nature* 2016;537(7622):703-04. doi: 10.1038/nj7622-703a
5. Baker M. How quality control could save your science. *Nature* 2016;529(7587):456-8. doi: 10.1038/529456a [published Online First: 2016/01/29]
6. Becker E. How Better Training can Help Fix the Research Reproducibility Crisis. *Inside Higher Ed* 2017
7. Begg MD, Bennett LM, Cicutto L, et al. Graduate Education for the Future: New Models and Methods for the Clinical and Translational Workforce. *Cts-Clinical and Translational Science* 2015;8(6):787-92. doi: 10.1111/cts.12359
8. Begley CG, Buchan AM, Dirnagl U. Robust research Institutions must do their part for reproducibility. *Nature* 2015;525(7567):25-27. doi: 10.1038/525025a
9. Bosch G. Train PhD students to be thinkers not just specialists. *Nature* 2018;554(7692):277. doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-01853-1 [published Online First: 2018/02/16]
10. Camerer CF, Dreber A, Forsell E, et al. Evaluating replicability of laboratory experiments in economics. *Science* 2016;351(6280):1433-6. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf0918 [published Online First: 2016/03/05]
11. Camerer CF, Dreber A, Holzmeister F, et al. Evaluating the replicability of social science experiments in Nature and Science between 2010 and 2015. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2018;2(9):637-44. doi: 10.1038/s41562-018-0399-z

12. Casadevall A, Ellis LM, Davies EW, et al. A Framework for Improving the Quality of Research in the Biological Sciences. *mBio* 2016;7(4) doi: 10.1128/mBio.01256-16 [published Online First: 2016/09/01]
13. Casadevall A, Fang FC. Reforming Science: Methodological and Cultural Reforms. *Infection and Immunity* 2012;80(3):891. doi: 10.1128/IAI.06183-11
14. Collaboration OS. Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science* 2015;349(6251):aac4716. doi: 10.1126/science.aac4716
15. Collins FS, Tabak LA. NIH plans to enhance reproducibility. *Nature* 2014;505(7485):612-13. doi: 10.1038/505612a
16. Ellis LM. The erosion of research integrity: the need for culture change. *The Lancet Oncology* 2015;16(7):752-4. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(15)00085-6 [published Online First: 2015/07/08]
17. Freedman LP, Cockburn IM, Simcoe TS. The Economics of Reproducibility in Preclinical Research. *PLOS Biology* 2015;13(6):e1002165. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002165
18. Freedman LP, Venugopalan G, Wisman R. Reproducibility2020: Progress and priorities. *F1000Research* 2017;6:604-04. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11334.1
19. Fried LP, Begg MD, Bayer R, et al. MPH Education for the 21st Century: Motivation, Rationale, and Key Principles for the New Columbia Public Health Curriculum. *American Journal of Public Health* 2014;104(1):23-30. doi: 10.2105/ajph.2013.301399
20. Galea S, Fried LP, Walker JR, et al. Developing the New Columbia Core Curriculum: A Case Study in Managing Radical Curriculum Change. *American Journal of Public Health* 2015;105:S17-S21. doi: 10.2105/ajph.2014.302470
21. Gunsalus CK, McNutt MK, Martinson BC, et al. Overdue: a US advisory board for research integrity. *Nature* 2019;566(7743):173-75. doi: 10.1038/d41586-019-00519-w

22. Hardwicke TE, Ioannidis JPA. Mapping the universe of registered reports. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2018;2(11):793-96. doi: 10.1038/s41562-018-0444-y
23. John LK, Loewenstein G, Prelec D. Measuring the prevalence of questionable research practices with incentives for truth telling. *Psychological science* 2012;23(5):524-32. doi: 10.1177/0956797611430953 [published Online First: 2012/04/18]
24. Kidwell MC, Lazarevic LB, Baranski E, et al. Badges to Acknowledge Open Practices: A Simple, Low-Cost, Effective Method for Increasing Transparency. *PLoS Biol* 2016;14(5):e1002456. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002456 [published Online First: 2016/05/14]
25. Kupferschmidt K. A recipe for rigor. *Science* 2018;361(6408):1192. doi: 10.1126/science.361.6408.1192
26. Landis SC, Amara SG, Asadullah K, et al. A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research. *Nature* 2012;490(7419):187-91. doi: 10.1038/nature11556 [published Online First: 2012/10/13]
27. McNutt M. Journals unite for reproducibility. *Science* 2014;346(6210):679. [published Online First: 2014/11/11]
28. McNutt M. The measure of research merit. *Science* 2014;346(6214):1155. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa3796 [published Online First: 2014/12/06]
29. McNutt M. Implicit bias. *Science* 2016;352(6289):1035. doi: 10.1126/science.aag1695 [published Online First: 2016/05/28]
30. Meyers FJ, Begg MD, Fleming M, et al. Strengthening the Career Development of Clinical Translational Scientist Trainees: A Consensus Statement of the Clinical Translational Science Award (CTSA) Research Education and Career Development Committees. *Cts-Clinical and Translational Science* 2012;5(2):132-37. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-8062.2011.00392.x

31. Munafò MR, Nosek BA, Bishop DVM, et al. A manifesto for reproducible science. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2017;1:0021. doi: 10.1038/s41562-016-0021
32. National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine, Policy, et al. *Fostering Integrity in Research. Fostering Integrity in Research*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US)
Copyright 2017 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved. 2017.
33. Nosek BA, Alter G, Banks GC, et al. Promoting an open research culture. *Science* 2015;348(6242):1422.
34. Nosek BA, Ebersole CR, DeHaven AC, et al. The preregistration revolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2018;115(11):2600-06. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1708274114 [published Online First: 2018/03/14]
35. Nosek BA, Lakens D. Registered reports: A method to increase the credibility of published results. *Social Psychology* 2014;45(3):137-41. doi: 10.1027/1864-9335/a000192
36. Nosek BA, Spies JR, Motyl M. Scientific Utopia: II. Restructuring incentives and practices to promote truth over publishability. *arXiv e-prints* 2012. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/#abs/2012arXiv1205.4251N> (accessed May 01, 2012).
37. Pannucci CJ, Wilkins EG. Identifying and Avoiding Bias in Research. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2010;126(2):619-25. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e3181de24bc
38. Richmond G. To Advance Science, It's Time to Tackle Unconscious Bias. *Live Science* 2016
39. Schrag NJ, Purdy GM. Step up for quality research. *Science* 2017;357(6351):531-31. doi: 10.1126/science.aan0859
40. Sciences AAoAa. *Perceptions of Science in America*. 2018
41. Shollen SL, Bland CJ, Center BA, et al. Relating mentor type and mentoring behaviors to academic medicine faculty satisfaction and productivity at one medical school. *Academic medicine : journal of the*

Association of American Medical Colleges 2014;89(9):1267-75. doi: 10.1097/acm.0000000000000381

[published Online First: 2014/07/01]

42. Silberzahn R, Uhlmann EL, Martin DP, et al. Many Analysts, One Data Set: Making Transparent How Variations in Analytic Choices Affect Results. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* 2018;1(3):337-56. doi: 10.1177/2515245917747646
43. Stodden V. Reproducing Statistical Results. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application* 2015;2(1):1-19. doi: 10.1146/annurev-statistics-010814-020127
44. Warren M. First Analysis of 'Pre-Registered' Studies Shows Sharp Rise in Null Findings. *Nature* 2018